University of Split  
Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences

to the Editorial board of “Zbornik radova Filozofskog fakulteta u Splitu”

**Article Review Form for“Zbornik radova Filozofskog fakulteta u Splitu”**

**Reviewer data**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Name and surname |  |
| Title |  |
| Affiliation |  |
| Phone number |  |
| E-mail address |  |
| Signature |  |

**Important information**

Please submit the review **within 20 days** of receiving the text and send the completed form to the e-mail address of the *Zbornik* ([zbornik.ffst@gmail.com](mailto:zbornik.ffst@gmail.com)) or to the postal address of the *Zbornik* (in which case notify us by e-mail):

Uredništvo »Zbornika radova Filozofskog fakulteta u Splitu«  
Filozofski fakultet u Splitu  
Poljička cesta 35  
HR–21000 Split

Please do not reveal your identity in the comments, both in the following or in the manuscript itself. If during the review process you discover who the author of the manuscript is, please return the manuscript to us in order to preserve the integrity of the blind-review process.

We kindly ask you to consult the **complete guidelines for reviewers**, which can be found at the following link: <https://zbornik.ffst.unist.hr/?p=179>

Thank you for your time and assistance!

**Article review for “Zbornik radova Filozofskog fakulteta u Splitu”**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Article title |  |
| Date |  |

We kindly ask you to evaluate the manuscript according to the following criteria on a scale of **1 to 5**, whereby 5 is the highest grade, and **1** indicates that the manuscript does not meet a criterion. Only one grade is possible within each category. The review must be accompanied by a **minimum of 100 words of explanation**.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Grade | Explanation |
| Originality of the text and meaning |  |  |
| Scientific importance (contributions) for domestic and international research |  |  |
| Appropriate methodology |  |  |
| Language and style |  |  |
| Using recent and appropriate literature |  |  |
| Overall grade |  |

**Comments and recommendations for the author**

|  |
| --- |
|  |

**Recommendation to the Editorial board**   
(select only one of the following categories, by **bolding**)

1. Accept, no corrections needed
2. Accept, but needs minor corrections – editor in chief’s evaluation is required
3. Accept, but needs major changes – repeated reviewer’s evaluation is required
4. Reject

**Category of the article provided that it is recommended for publication**   
(select only one of the following categories, by **bolding**)

1. Original scientific paper
2. Preliminary note
3. Review paper
4. Professional paper